

Decision _____

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Application of Southern California Edison Company (U338E) for a Commission Finding that its Procurement-Related and Other Operations for the Record Period January 1 Through December 1, 2010 Complied with its Adopted Procurement Plan; for Verification of its Entries in the Energy Resource Recovery Account and Other Regulatory Accounts; and for Recovery of \$25.613 Million Recorded in Three Memorandum Accounts.

Application 11-04-001
(Filed April 1, 2011)

ORDER EXTENDING STATUTORY DEADLINE**Summary**

This decision extends the statutory deadline in these proceedings to April 14, 2015.

Background

Public Utilities Code Section 1701.5(a) provides that ratesetting cases must be resolved within 18 months of the date the scoping memo is issued unless the Commission makes findings why that statutory deadline cannot be met and issues an order extending the 18-month deadline for a period not exceeding 60 days. In this proceeding, the statutory deadline is February 13, 2015.

On November 14, 2013, the Commission issued Decision 13-11-005, which resolved all issues in this proceeding but also ordered a workshop to be conducted where Southern California Edison Company (SCE) and other

interested parties shall develop proposed criteria that should be used to determine what constitutes least-cost dispatch compliance, and the resulting methodology SCE should follow to assemble a showing to meet its burden to prove such compliance for use during the 2014 record period and subsequent inclusion in SCE's Energy Resource Recovery Account compliance application in 2015. This proceeding was left open to consider SCE's report on that workshop. The workshop was held on February 25, 2014 and SCE filed the required workshop report on March 26, 2014. Subsequent to this filing, SCE, Pacific Gas and Electric Company, and San Diego Gas & Electric Company filed a joint proposed least-cost dispatch compliance methodology for the Commission's consideration. A proposed decision addressing the joint proposal is expected to be issued by February 20, 2015. In order to provide the parties time to comment on the proposed decision and the Commission time to consider and issue a final decision, an extension of time is necessary. Therefore, a 60-day extension of the statutory deadline until April 14, 2015, is appropriate.

Waiver of Comment Period

Under Rule 14.6(c)(4) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, the Commission may reduce or waive the period for public review and comment of proposed decisions extending the deadline for resolving ratesetting proceedings. Accordingly, the otherwise applicable period for public review and comment is being waived.

Assignment of Proceeding

Michel Peter Florio is the assigned Commissioner and Stephen C. Roscow is the assigned Administrative Law Judge in this proceeding.

Findings of Fact

1. The statutory deadline for resolving this ratesetting proceeding is February 13, 2015.
2. A proposed decision is expected to be issued by February 20, 2015.
3. A 60-day extension of the statutory deadline is necessary to provide sufficient time for review and to issue a final decision.

Conclusion of Law

Pursuant to the authority granted to the Commission under Public Utilities Code Section 1701.5(a), the statutory deadline should be extended to April 14, 2015.

IT IS ORDERED that:

1. The statutory deadline in this proceeding, February 13, 2015, is extended until April 14, 2015.
2. Application 11-04-001 remains open.

This order is effective today.

Dated _____, at San Francisco, California.